



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/005,294	12/05/2001	Jon A. Wolff	MIRUS.003.06.1	8816
7590 12/10/2004			EXAMINER	
Mark K. Johnson			DAVIS, BRIAN J	
PO Box 510644 New Berlin, WI 53151-0644			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	

DATE MAILED: 12/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/005,294	WOLFF ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian J. Davis	1621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r reply within the statutory minimum of thirt itiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute. cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. S. 133)				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/	/26/04 (petition).					
	This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 6-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) 7 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6.8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/005,294

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Petition Decision

Due to a favorable petition decision (11/05/04), this application has been revived and prosecution continued.

112 Rejections Withdrawn

The rejection of claims 6-8 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, outlined in the previous Office Action, has been overcome by applicant's amendment. The amendment clarifies the claim text and diagrams as appropriate.

102 Rejections Maintained

The rejection of claim 6 under 35 USC 102(b), outlined in the previous Office Action, is maintained for reasons of record. Applicant's arguments and amendment have been carefully considered, but are not persuasive.

Firstly, the examiner respectfully points out that during patent examination, the pending claims must be given their broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification. *In re Hyatt*, 211 F. 3d 1367, 1372, 54 USPQ2d 1664, 1667 (Fed. Cir. 2000).

Applicant defines orthogonal protecting groups as "...a protective group that can be selectively removed in the presence of other protective groups..." (page 22 line 30). Furthermore, applicant defines a protective group as "...a chemical group that is

Application/Control Number: 10/005,294

Art Unit: 1621

temporarily bound to functionalities within a multifunctional compound that allows selective reactions to take place at other sites..." (page 22 line 36).

The cited prior art, using the instant variable labels, has R=-C(O)(CH₂)₁₆Me and R'= 'SO₃. These groups are encompassed by applicant's definitions and may be considered as orthogonal protecting groups: They are different chemical moieties temporarily bound to a functionality (protective aspect; all chemical bonds can be thought of as temporary) and since they differ in structure and thus in properties, would be expected to behave differently under different reactions conditions (orthogonal aspect).

The rejection of claim 8 under 35 USC 102(b), outlined in the previous Office Action, is maintained for reasons of record. Applicant's arguments and amendment have been carefully considered, but are not persuasive.

The examiner respectfully points out that claim 8 is a compound claim drawn to compounds defined by the general structure in the claim. The addition of limitations into the preamble adds no patentable weight. This is so because a compound and its properties are inseparable. *In re Papesch*, 315, F.2d 381, 137 USPQ 43 (CCPA 1963). See also: 1) *In re Ridden*, 318, F.2d 761, 138 USPQ 112; *In re Maeder*, 337 F.2d 875, 143 USPQ 248; *Ex parte Maxey*, 177 USPQ 468 (POBA 1972); *In re Spada*, 911 F.2d 705, 15 USPQ 2d 1655 (Fed. Cir. 1990). Recitation of the intended utility into the preamble of a compound claim which can otherwise stand alone is not considered a further limitation on the claim; and 2) A "use" can only be properly claimed as a process

Application/Control Number: 10/005,294

Art Unit: 1621

or method. 35 USC 100(b), 101. See also *Clinical Products v. Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475, 477 (DDC 1966); *In re Thuau*, 1943 CD 390.

103 Rejections Maintained

The rejection of claim 8 under 35 USC 103(a), outlined in the previous Office Action, is maintained for reasons of record. Applicant's arguments and amendment have been carefully considered, but are not persuasive.

As above, The examiner respectfully points out that claim 8 is a compound claim drawn to compounds defined by the general structure in the claim. The addition of limitations into the preamble adds no patentable weight.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7 is allowed.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1621

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-0638. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINITES

Brian J. Davis December 1, 2004